

## Master thesis III (D012958)

Due to Covid 19, the education and evaluation methods may vary from the information displayed in the schedules and course details. Any changes will be communicated on Ufora.

**Course size** *(nominal values; actual values may depend on programme)*  
**Credits** 15.0      **Study time** 450 h      **Contact hrs** 30.0 h

### Course offerings in academic year 2020-2021

A (year)      Dutch      Gent

### Lecturers in academic year 2020-2021

Pype, Peter	GE39	lecturer-in-charge
Boeckxstaens, Pauline	GE39	co-lecturer
Decat, Peter	GE39	co-lecturer
De Sutter, An	GE39	co-lecturer
Heytens, Stefan	GE39	co-lecturer

### Offered in the following programmes in 2020-2021

	crdts	offering
<a href="#">Master of Medicine in Family Medicine</a>	15	A

### Teaching languages

Dutch

### Keywords

### Position of the course

### Contents

The student learns to draw up a scientific project, independently or in group (max. 4 students). During this course, the student will collect, analyze and interpret data; reflect on the results and discuss them; write the master thesis; orally present and defend the master thesis. The scientific project is supervised by the promoter/co-promoter, the practice trainer and the GP trainer. The promoter is ultimately responsible for the guidance of the student.

### Initial competences

The student has acquired the knowledge and skills in accordance with the learning outcomes of the course Master thesis II (year 2)

### Final competences

- 1 Report scientific research results in writing
- 2 Check the findings of their own research with those of others in the literature
- 3 Formulate recommendations for practice based on own research
- 4 Present the results of the research to colleagues (and laymen)

### Conditions for credit contract

This course unit cannot be taken via a credit contract

### Conditions for exam contract

This course unit cannot be taken via an exam contract

### Teaching methods

Master's dissertation

### Extra information on the teaching methods

Contact with promotor, independent work

## **Learning materials and price**

There are extensive instructions available to students on [www.icho.be](http://www.icho.be).

## **References**

## **Course content-related study coaching**

### **Evaluation methods**

continuous assessment

### **Examination methods in case of periodic evaluation during the first examination period**

### **Examination methods in case of periodic evaluation during the second examination period**

### **Examination methods in case of permanent evaluation**

Oral examination, participation, assignment

### **Possibilities of retake in case of permanent evaluation**

examination during the second examination period is possible

### **Extra information on the examination methods**

The final result will be calculated according to the following weighting:

- 60% evaluation of the manuscript by the promoter and an independent lector
- 20% assessment of the oral presentation of the research project by a jury of academic GPs and coordinators
- 20% assessment of the public defense of the research project based on questions from the jury members and the public

The evaluation of the manuscript also includes an evaluation of the student's progress based on Dublin descriptors (process evaluation).

If students work in group (with one master thesis as the end product), each student has to write a personal reflection on top of the actual manuscript with a minimum of 800 words. The presentation and public defense of the research project are always individual.

Research should be done with respect of the procedures regarding data protection (GDPR) and ethics review as described by the Medical Ethics Committee. A research project should not start without the necessary approvals

### **Calculation of the examination mark**

- 60% evaluation of the manuscript by the promoter and an independent lector
- 20% assessment of the oral presentation of the research project by a jury of academic GPs and coordinators
- 20% assessment of the public defense of the research project based on questions from the jury members and the public